IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 1502 /2004

PETITIONERS :

1. Vidhya Nand Laxme, S/o. Late Shri Jogiram, aged about 51 years, Working as Supervisor in Intigrated Child Development Scheme, Kusmi, District Surguja, Chhattisgarh

2. Mandhari Ram, S/o. Late Shri Harbanshu Ram, aged about 48 years, Working as Supervisor in Intigrated Child Development Scheme, Bharatpur, District Korea Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 1788/04
Presented by Shri Rajesh Tiwari
dated 24-8-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Union of India, Through: Secretary, Personal Public Grievances and Pension, Department of Personal Training, Lok Nayak Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Khan Market, New Delhi 110 003

2. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: Its Secretary, Deptt. of Public Education D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh Mahila Avam Bal Vikas Department D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

Amended vide order dt 13.8.04

Received copy
Shiv Yadav
29.06.04
Clerk to Shri
VINAY HARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

Clerk to A.G.

226

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITION OF INDIA

The petitioners named above most humbly and respectfully begs to submit as under:-

(5)

C.O. at Gwalior 13/8/

Counsel in the petition

13/8/04

4. The State of M.P.
Through : Secretary,
Reorganisation & Coll.
Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal (M.P.)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1802/04 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13/8/2004 Shri Sunil Sinha for the petitioners. Shri Vinay Harit, Sr. Standing Counsel for Union of India. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy.G.A., for the State. On an oral request made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, State of Madhya Pradesh be joined as a party and the cause-title be amended. Shri Sachin Singh Rajput, Advocate, takes notice on behalf of the State of M.P. Copy of the petition with annexures be supplied to him. Heard. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners were neither served with the order of provisional allocation nor final allocation. He further submitted that suddenly an order dated 31/5/2004 (Annexure-P/3) has been received by them. For the matters like the present one, it would be just and proper that the petitioners may be given an opportunity of filing a detailed	

[पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

representation and the Cell of respondent no.1/Union of India may decide the same in accordance with law.

Having thus considered, in the opinion of this Court, the petitioners are directed to submit a detailed representation alongwith a copy of the order and the petition before the Cell of respondent no.1/Union raising their grievances before the competent authority of no.1 within fifteen days from today, and on such representation being filed, the Cell shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioners may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P.No.1612/2004 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy after the amendment is incorporated.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

HC